



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Serial Number: 09/910,608

Appn. Filed: 7/23/2001

Applicant: James A. Lehman

Appn. Title: Pioneer methods, and web enabled apparatuses, for using any kind of concept, list or non list, on the internet or internet like means to create or invent with.

Examiner/GAU: Tan Dean Nguyen, 3629

Mailed: December 1, 2003

At: Fond du Lac, WI 54935

AMENDMENT

Commissioner for Patents

P. O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Letter mailed October 8, 2003, please amend the above application as follows:

A new specification with an abstract and claims is provided. The new claims place them in better form for examination and allowance. None of the new claims constitutes new matter.

A terminal disclaimer is provided as requested.

Regarding the examiners rejections based on anticipation the applicant points out that "anticipation" in this matter refers to "conflicts" and there is no conflict between the inventor's art and the art cited by the examiner - and none of that art refers, or suggests,

3629  
#8/Amend/A  
Sub Spec  
WE  
**RECEIVED**  
DEC 08 2003  
**GROUP 3600**

in any way, using the internet to invent with and as such the cited works do not show the same invention that the applicant is claiming and are non-analogous art and should be withdrawn.

Further, the applicant believes that a new art unit (3629) has been created by the PTO for the applicants totally new art - and if so, he believes that the law provides "extra allowances" for his application, including an examiners action which should be constructive in nature and where possible should offer a definite suggestion for correction.

And, for that matter, it must be pointed out that the applicant did file a: Request Under MPEP 707.07(j) application meaning if the examiner finds patentable subject matter (which is the case) and the claims are not suitable then the examiner should draft one or more allowable claims for the applicant. The applicant restates that request.

For these reasons it is sincerely believed that the specification and claims as now presented are clearly distinguishable over the prior art in a patentable manner to render them allowable and such action is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

By: James A. Lehman

James A. Lehman,  
Inventor  
260 6th Street  
Fond du Lac, WI 54935

Tele: 920-921-3464

jameslehman@catholic.org

December 1, 2003